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ABSTRACT

Diagnostics of the plume plasma of electric thrusters such as ion engines and Hall thrusters used as space propulsion systems for satellites, provides important information about the underlying physics of the thruster operation and insight about possible thruster/plume interaction with satellite operations and particle impingement on sensitive satellite surfaces. In order to determine the effects and hazards of the plume on satellite, and to examine the performance of the thruster, charge analysis of the plume plasma is important. Among various types of diagnostic instruments, Retarding Potential Analyzer (RPA) is a powerful one that is used for measuring the ion energy distribution of the plume plasma. The RPA data provides data about the thruster load and factors affecting the lifetime of the plasma thruster. In this study, design, material selection and manufacture of a small (110 mm diameter) RPA to be used for an RF ion engine plume plasma diagnostics are explained. The proposed RPA will have four grids. First and second ones are the floating grids used for reducing the plasma perturbation and attenuating the density in the probe. The second one is negatively biased for repelling the electrons. The third one is the ion retarding grid which is positively biased at various high values by sweeping the voltage. The retarding is the secondary electron repeller grid which is negatively biased for preventing the secondary electrons produced by the high-energy ion collisions with the ion retarding grid and the collector from leaving the collector. The potential of this grid is below that of the primary electron repeller grid so that no electrons are trapped between these grids. And finally, the ion collector is grounded or biased slightly to attract ions.

The current to the collector is measured using a picammeter since it will be in the range of 10^-10 A. I-V curves are obtained by sweeping the ion retarding bias voltage and collecting the current as verse V and later on they are fitted with smoothing splines. As the next step, the curve is numerically differentiated with respect to V and it yields the ion energy distribution. The derivative of the measured I-V characteristic is proportional to the ion voltage (energy per charge) distribution function (Kv):

\[ dI/dV = eI_n/e_n = n_f \]  

where \( n \) is the charge-state of the ion, \( e \) is the elementary charge, \( n_f \) is the ion density, \( A \) is the probe collection area, and \( m \) is the ion mass.

DESIGN CONCERNS

3.1 Shielding Effect

Debye length is a critical parameter for the operation of the RPA. It is related to the grid aperture size. According to the analyzer design of Hutchinson, the grid aperture should be less than thickness of the sheath (\( \phi_s \)). In order to minimize the shielding effect of the grids by the plasma, if the aperture are larger than the Debye length, the voltage applied for repelling the particles will not affect the plasma because it will shield itself, and the particles that are intended to repel will be able to pass through the aperture. Debye length is calculated by:

\[ \lambda_D = \sqrt{\frac{\kappa^2}{n_e}} \]

3.2 Space Charge Effect

The electron repeller grid will repel all the electrons and allow the ions to pass. Since the aperture size is less than the sheath. After passing through the electron repeller grid, since all the particles in the region between electron repeller and ion repeller grid are ions, ion charge density causes a rise in the potential between the grids. This phenomenon is called space charge effect. It shifts the potential to a higher level than the potential that is supplied by power supply. If this is the case, ions will be affected by the repulsive potential hill and will be repelled by the highest potential which leads to low current measurements. The proper spacing between two grids should be calculated in order to avoid this limitation by Hutchinson’s derivation of the relation for the grid spacing and Debye length:

\[ d = \frac{0.501 \lambda_D^2}{n_s} \]

3.3 Ram Pressure

Another problem arises when the large flux of particles enters from the large probe entrance to internal volume of the probe and “choke” the cavity of the probe due to increased pressure inside. Entering ions are neutralized by the collisions with the wall and the collector and the relatively dense gas inside the probe scatters the incoming ions. This is because of space charge and charge exchange (CEX) collisions. The collisions in the probe will destroy energy information. The result is an attenuation and broadening of the ion energy distribution. So this criterion puts a limit to the operation pressure range. It is possible to overcome this limitation by the use of differential pumping and decreasing the pressure inside the probe; so the lower pressure leads to an increase of the mean free path to a larger value that makes the collisions negligible consequently.

BUSTLAB RPA DESIGN

Required data for designing the RPA is obtained from RIT-10 plasma data since BURFIT-80 is the most similar thruster to BURFIT-80 due to its size, beam voltage and current (beam power). This probe is designed to operate at 100 mm - 500 mm radial distance on the thruster and attenuation of the electron temperature and electron density are approximately an 100 eV and 10^10 cm^3/s respectively. The design is completed based on these values.

Setting the feasible spacing between electron and ion repeller grids to 1 mm, with Green’s relation:

\[ \frac{A_e}{E_e} = \frac{1}{n_f} \]

It is found that maximum density in the probe should be around 10^12 cm^-3. This means that, the density should be attenuated at least 42 times in order to prevent space charge effects in the region of highest density. So double entrance grids and second grid have a transmission of 10% and 20% respectively. So the.configuration of 6 grids is obtained.

Considering that the Debye length with the reduced density is 0.28 mm, 0.3 mm is chosen for the aperture diameter.

For impact energies below 1 keV, the secondary electron emission yield of copper is less than 0.1 electrons per ion. Considering the low electron emission from the collector and the molybdenum grids, the secondary electron suppression grid is defined in order to maximize the open area fraction of the grid system and ensure an adequate signal to noise ratio.

Electrical connections are made by spot welding to the grids and they are aligned between the sliver’s outer edge and inner edge of the casing and exiting at the back of the probe.
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Figure: The Space Thicknesses

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material</th>
<th>Thickness 1</th>
<th>Thickness 2</th>
<th>Thickness 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Aluminum</td>
<td>1 mm</td>
<td>2 mm</td>
<td>3 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copper</td>
<td>0.1 mm</td>
<td>0.2 mm</td>
<td>0.3 mm</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table: Parts’ Information

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part</th>
<th>Material</th>
<th>Length</th>
<th>Thickness</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Collector</td>
<td>Copper</td>
<td>10.1 mm</td>
<td>1.0 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grids</td>
<td>Molybdenum</td>
<td>8.0 mm</td>
<td>0.1 mm</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure: Expected I-V curve and ion energy distributions

A Maxwellian distribution will be obtained. The peak point can be interpreted as primary ion energy. The energy spread will be around 1000 eV since the acceleration grid of the BURFIT-80 is biased to 1100 V.

PROBE SETUP AND DATA INTERPRETATION

The probe will be placed at various distances downstream of the exit of the thruster and will be swept between 50 mm and 500 mm with the limits with a stepper motor movablev bench for having results all around the plasma.

Figure: Experimental setup in the vacuum tank

Figure: Schematic of a retarding potential analyzer and bias applied to grids.

Figure: Schematic of a retarding potential analyzer and bias applied to grids.

Figure: Laser Interferometer

Figure: Laser Interferometer

Figure: Laser Interferometer

Figure: Laser Interferometer
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